

Nobuhiro Okabe (Hiroshima Univ.)

Weak-Gravitational lensing study is a direct probe to reconstruct cluster mass distribution.

Complementary to X-ray analysis.

©NASA

Universal Mass Profile

Simulation-based predictions: the appearance of a characteristic, universal density profile (Navarro, Frenk & White 96, 97; NFW profile)



 $M_{\rm vir}$ Cluster Mass $C_{\rm vir} = r_{\rm vir} / r_s$ Concentration parameter

Weak-lensing studies of "massive" clusters in recent 10 years



Massive clusters beyond redshift ~ 0.15 Redshift range are selected by the FoV (~full moon size) of Subaru/ Suprime-cam

exquisite imaging quality

- 8.2 diameter mirror

one pointing covers viral radii

LoCuSS (Local Cluster Substructure Survey) multi-wavelength survey for ~ 80 clusters at z = 0.15-3.0, unbiasedly selected from X-ray luminosity Subaru Chandra



LocuSS (0.15<z<0.3; 50 clusters) Lensing signal agrees well with NFW/Einasto profiles. Okabe+Smith16

$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Mass-concentration relation}\\ \textbf{Stacked lensing profile}\\ \textbf{Stacked lensing profile}\\ \textbf{Stacked lensing profile}\\ \textbf{Stacked lensing profile}\\ \textbf{r}/r_{200}\\ \textbf{r}/$





Next Decade : Hyper Suprime-Cam (HSC)

FoV: 7 times larger



Full moon

Suprime-Cam Image Release September 2001

Hyper Suprime-Cam Image Release July 2013

(Credit: HSC Project / NAOJ)

HSC is the best interment for clusters at z < ~ 0.1 me-Cam Era Very nearby cluster 10^{46} -Hi-z Lo-Previous WL Studies (23) 10^{45} $L_X (< r_{500})E(z)^{-2.7}$ tudies 10⁴³ C SSP Survey 10^{42} Matched with the performances of Suzaku, XMM-Netwon, Chandra and Hitomi satellites 10^{41} 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.0

Redshift, z

low-z sample : NECSUS 22 clusters at z < 0.06**NEarby Cluster SUrvey with Subaru** Advantages of WL analysis for very nearby clusters no (less) contamination of member galaxies (contamination is a critical issue at $z \sim 0.2$) - the enormous number of background galaxies reduce the statistical shape noise and thus compensates for the low lensing efficiency of the nearby cluster. (N_g is 20-60 times higher than that at $z \sim 0.2$) large apparent size resolve less massive subhalos. $(down to ~ 5x10^{12} - 10^{13} Msun)$ (massive subhalos in meting clusters at z~0.2)

Overall Mass Profile (main=smooth mass component)

Internal Structure (clumpy subhalos)

Boylan-Kolchin+09

5Mpc/h



Pilot Study with Suprime-Cam

32 cluster subhalos detected by WL signals

Associated with known optical groups/galaxies

S direction

X-ray emission detected from some massive subhalos

Okabe+14a

Stacked Lensing Analysis :



Subhalo mass function



$$\frac{dN}{d\ln M_{\rm sub}} \propto \left(\frac{M_{\rm sub}}{M_{\rm vir}}\right)^{-1.11_{-0.29}^{+0.45}}$$
$$\frac{dN}{d\ln M_{\rm sub}} \propto \left(\frac{M_{\rm sub}}{M_{\rm vir}}\right)^{-0.94_{-0.29}^{+0.46}} \operatorname{Exp}\left[-\frac{M_{\rm sub}}{M_{*}}\right]$$

Consistent with CDM predictions : slope ~0.9-1

the Perseus Cluster with HSC

the core region

Chandra

z=0.0178

One of primary targets of cluster sciences.

GT target of Hitomi X-ray satellite to directly measure gas motions.



Algol (Beta Pers

PERSEUS: Luminosity (FWHM = 4.00 [arcmin])





Joint constraints by WL and BCG stellar kinematics



Joint X-ray and HSC-WL analysis

1: Indirect Constraint of Non-thermal Pressure vs Hitomi/SXS measurement of gas motion

Importance for cluster cosmology

2: Suzaku Cluster Outskirts Problem

Total Pressure v.s. Thermal Pressure v.s. Non-thermal Pressure XMM/Chandra/Suzaku Subaru "indirect" Thermal-pressure tota ressure $\rho_g dr$ X-ray P_{th} $P_{\mathbf{g}}$ Non-thermal pressure "direct" observation of non-thermal pressure.

6.5



Consistent with Hitomi result

Outskirts Entropy Problem



 $K = \frac{k_B T}{n_s^{2/3}}$ **Possible interpretations** <u>Temperature drops</u> **Non-thermal pressure** (Kawaharada+10, Sato+12, Ichikawa+13, Okabe+14c) Number density excess **Overestimated by gas** clumpiness (Nagai+Lau11, Simionescu+11, Urban+14)

Clumpiness interpretation Nagai+Lau 11 [sim] • Entropy



Sim]
 Entropy flatting is found beyond r200.
 Observations are within r200.
 [sim]

Clumpiness within r200 are negligible.

Lifetime of gas clumpy structures is very short due to ram-pressure/hydro-instability

Suzaku Observation

Density excess is reported only in the Perseus cluster. we now have WL and X-ray data for the cluster.

Simultaneous fit of X-ray and WL data

 Do NOT assume existing scaling relations/baselines to understand the data.
 Since we don't know whether the assumption is true or not, we may misunderstand causes.

Self-Consistent Analysis



$$f_{n}(\tilde{r} = r/r_{\Delta}) = X \text{-ray} \qquad (10)$$

$$n_{0}E(z)^{2} \left(\frac{M_{\Delta}E(z)}{10^{14} h_{70}^{-1}M_{\odot}}\right)^{a} \left(\frac{\tilde{r}}{\tilde{r_{0}}}\right)^{-\alpha} \left(1 + \left(\frac{\tilde{r}}{\tilde{r_{0}}}\right)^{\beta}\right)^{-\gamma/\beta}$$

$$f_{T}(\tilde{r} = r/r_{\Delta}) = (11)$$

$$T_{0} \left(\frac{M_{\Delta}E(z)}{10^{14} h_{70}^{-1}M_{\odot}}\right)^{b} \left(\frac{\tilde{r}}{\tilde{r_{0}}}\right)^{-\delta} \left(1 + \left(\frac{\tilde{r}}{\tilde{r_{0}}}\right)^{\beta}\right)^{-\eta/\beta}$$

$$-2\ln \mathcal{L} = \sum_{i,j} \ln(\det(\boldsymbol{C}_{ij})) + \boldsymbol{v}_{ij}^T \boldsymbol{C}_{ij}^{-1} \boldsymbol{v}_{ij},$$

$$\boldsymbol{v} = \begin{pmatrix} \ln(n(\tilde{r})) - \ln(f_n(M_{\Delta}, \tilde{r})) \\ \ln(T(\tilde{r})) - \ln(f_T(M_{\Delta}, \tilde{r})) \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\boldsymbol{C} = \boldsymbol{C}_{\text{stat}} + \boldsymbol{C}_{\text{int}}$$



Summary



 New project for very nearby clusters using Subaru/ HSC is launched.

- Indirect constraint of non-thermal pressure agrees well with the quiescent gas by Hitomi's direct observation.
- X-ray gas profiles (n, T, P, and K) scaled by weaklensing mass and over-density radius have universal forms out to ~ virial radius.
- Low entropy in cluster outskirts is caused by temperature drops rather than gas clumpiness.